

Solving of partial differential equations under minimal conditions

Volodymyr Kyrylovych Maslyuchenko, Volodymyr Vasylyovych Mykhaylyuk

(Chernivtsi National University, Department of Applied Mathematics, Chair of Mathematical Analysis, Chernivtsi, vul. Kotsyubyns'koho 2, 58012, phone (0372) 584888, e-mail: vmykhaylyuk@ukr.net)

Key words and phrases. Separately differentiable functions, partial differential equations.

2000 MSC. 26B05, 35A99.

V.K.Maslyuchenko, V.V. Mykhaylyuk *Solving of partial differential equations under minimal conditions.*

It is proved that a differentiable with respect to each variable function $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a solution of the equation $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = 0$ if and only if there exists a function $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(x, y) = \varphi(x - y)$. This gives a positive answer to a question of R. Baire. Besides, we use this result to solving analogous partial differential equations in abstract spaces and partial differential equations of higher-order.

1. Introduction.

Let X, Y, Z be an arbitrary sets and $f : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$. For any $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$ we define mappings $f^x : Y \rightarrow Z$ and $f_y : X \rightarrow Z$ by the following equalities: $f^x(y) = f_y(x) = f(x, y)$. We say that a mapping f separately has P for some property P of mappings (continuity, differentiability, etc.) if for any $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$ the mappings f^x and f_y have P .

R. Baire in fifth section of his PhD thesis [1] raised a problem of solving of differential equations with partial derivatives under minimal requirements, that is, a problem of solving of some differential equation in the class of functions satisfied strongly necessary conditions for the existence of expressions which are contained in this equation. Besides, considering the equation

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = 0, \quad (1)$$

he proved, using rather laborious arguments, that a jointly continuous separately differentiable function $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a solution of (1) if and only if there exists a differentiable function $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(x, y) = \varphi(x - y)$

for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Taking into account the solution of this equation in the class of differentiable functions f (which can be obtained by introducing of new variables $t = x - y$ and $s = x + y$), the given result means that every jointly continuous separately differentiable solution of (1) is differentiable. It is clear that the continuity condition on f is not necessary for the existence of partial derivatives of f . Hence R. Baire naturally raised the following question.

Question 1.1 (R. Baire, [1, p.118]). *Let $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a separately differentiable solution of (1). Does there exist a differentiable function $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(x, y) = \varphi(x - y)$ for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$?*

Note that a result analogous to Baire's result was independently obtained in [2] where Question 1.1 was formulated too. Note that the method used in [2] is based essentially on the joint continuity of f ; it is very nice and rather simpler than the method from [1]. But, in fact, R. Baire in [1] solved (1) for separately differentiable functions f which are continuous on every line $y = x + c$ (see Theorem 4.1).

Besides, some results concerning solutions of the following equation

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = 0 \quad (2)$$

appeared to the end of XX century.

So, it was proved in [3] that every continuously differentiable solution $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of equation (2) depends only on one variable. Besides, this result was carried over the mappings $f : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ with locally convex range space Z . Also it was shown the essentiality of the local convexity of the space Z . An analogous result for separately differentiable functions was obtained in [4]. Moreover, using rather delicate topological arguments, it was proved that if $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a separately continuous function and for every point $p \in \mathbb{R}^2$ there exists at least one of partial derivatives $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(p)$ and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(p)$, and it is equal to zero, then f depends only on one variable. This result from [4] was generalized in [5] to the case of so-called separately L -differentiable mappings $f : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$, where X, Y, Z are real vector spaces and L is a subspace of the space of all linear functionals on Z which separates points from Z .

In given paper we firstly develop a technique from [1] and study properties of separately differentiable vector-valued functions of two real variables (Section 2). Further, in Section 3 we establish prove necessary and sufficient conditions under which metric-valued functions defined on an interval are constant. Also we obtain the following property of separately pointwise Lipschitz (in particular, separately differentiable) functions: the restriction of

such a function on an arbitrary set has nowhere dense discontinuity point set. This property makes possible to give a positive answer to Question 1.1. In two last sections this result we generalize to the case of mappings defined on the square of a vector space and then we apply it to solve partial differential equations of higher-orders.

2. Auxiliary Baire function and separately differentiable functions on \mathbb{R}^2 .

In this section we introduce an auxiliary function which connected with the difference relation analogously as in [1] for real functions, study its properties and use it for investigation of separately differentiable functions.

For arbitrary $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a < b$ we denote by $[a; b]$, $[a; b)$, $(a; b]$ and $(a; b)$ the corresponding intervals on \mathbb{R} .

Let Z is a vector space and $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow Z$ is a function. For arbitrary $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, $x \neq y$, and $B \subseteq Z$ put $r_f(x, y) = \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y}$ and $\Delta(B, f, x) = \{\delta \in (0; 1] : (\forall p', p'' \in (x - \delta; x) \times (x; x + \delta)) (r_f(p') - r_f(p'') \in B)\}$.

Define a function $\lambda(B, f) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by following: $\lambda(B, f)(x) = \sup \Delta(B, f, x)$ if $\Delta(B, f, x) \neq \emptyset$ and $\lambda(B, f)(x) = 0$ if $\Delta(B, f, x) = \emptyset$.

Let Z is a Hausdorff topological vector space. A mapping $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow Z$ is called *differentiable at a point* $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ if there exists $f'(x_0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0}$. Note that for a topological vector space Z , a differentiable at x_0 function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow Z$ and an arbitrary neighborhood W of zero in Z we have $\lambda(W, f)(x_0) > 0$. Besides, putting $r_f(x_0, x_0) = f'(x_0)$ we obtain that $\Delta(W, f, x_0) = \{\delta \in (0; 1] : (\forall p', p'' \in (x_0 - \delta; x_0] \times [x_0; x_0 + \delta)) (r_f(p') - r_f(p'') \in W)\}$ for any closed neighborhood W of zero in Z .

Theorem 2.1. *Let Z be a Hausdorff topological vector space, $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow Z$ be a differentiable in the first variable and continuous in the second variable function and W be a closed neighborhood of zero in Z . Then the function $g : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $g(x, y) = \lambda(W, f_y)(x)$, is an jointly upper semi-continuous function.*

P r o o f . Let $x_0, y_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $\gamma = g(x_0, y_0)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. If $\gamma + \varepsilon > 1$, then $g(x, y) \leq 1 < \gamma + \varepsilon$ for every $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

Now let $\gamma + \varepsilon \leq 1$. Then $\delta_0 = \gamma + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \leq 1$. Since $g(x_0, y_0) < \delta_0$, $\delta_0 \notin A(W, f_{y_0}, x_0)$. Therefore there exist $x_1, x'_1 \in (x_0 - \delta_0; x_0)$ and $x_2, x'_2 \in (x_0; x_0 + \delta_0)$ such that

$$\frac{f(x_2, y_0) - f(x_1, y_0)}{x_2 - x_1} - \frac{f(x'_2, y_0) - f(x'_1, y_0)}{x'_2 - x'_1} \notin W.$$

The continuity of f in the second variable and the closedness of W imply the existence of a neighborhood V of y_0 in \mathbb{R} such that

$$\frac{f(x_2, y) - f(x_1, y)}{x_2 - x_1} - \frac{f(x'_2, y) - f(x'_1, y)}{x'_2 - x'_1} \notin W$$

for every $y \in V$. Put $s = \min\{x_0 - x_1, x_0 - x'_1, x_2 - x_0, x'_2 - x_0, \frac{\varepsilon}{3}\}$, $U = (x_0 - s; x_0 + s)$ and $\delta_1 = \gamma + \frac{2\varepsilon}{3}$. Then $x_1, x'_1 \in (x - \delta_1; x)$ and $x_2, x'_2 \in (x; x + \delta_1)$ for every $x \in U$. Therefore $\delta_1 \notin A(W, f_y, x)$ and $g(x, y) \leq \delta_1 < \gamma + \varepsilon$ for every $x \in U$ and $y \in V$.

Thus g is a jointly upper semi-continuous at (x_0, y_0) function. \diamond

Let $q, p \in \mathbb{R}^2$. The Euclid distance in \mathbb{R}^2 between q and p we denote by $d(q, p)$. If $q \neq p$ then by $\alpha(q, p)$ we denote the angle between the vector \overrightarrow{pq} and the positive direction of abscissa.

The following theorem shows that using the function λ one can obtain some properties of separately differentiable functions.

Theorem 2.2. *Let Z be a topological vector space, $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow Z$ be a separately differentiable function, $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ be a nonempty set and W be an arbitrary neighborhood of zero in Z . Then for any open in E nonempty set G there exists point $p_0 \in G$ and its neighborhood O in E such that for any distinct points $p, q \in O$ the following inclusion holds:*

$$\frac{f(q) - f(p)}{d(q, p)} - (f'_x(p_0) \cos \alpha(q, p) + f'_y(p_0) \sin \alpha(q, p)) \in W.$$

P r o o f . Note that it is sufficient to consider the case of closed set E .

Let $G \subseteq E$ is an arbitrary nonempty open in E set and W_1 be such closed radial neighborhood of zero in Z that $W_1 + W_1 + W_1 + W_1 + W_1 + W_1 \subseteq W$. Consider functions $g_1 : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $g_1(x, y) = \lambda(W_1, f_y)(x)$ and $g_2 : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $g_2(x, y) = \lambda(W_1, f^x)(y)$. According to Theorem 2.1, g_1 and g_2 are jointly upper semi-continuous. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ put $E_n = \{(x, y) \in E : g_1(x, y) \geq \frac{1}{n}, g_2(x, y) \geq \frac{1}{n}\}$. Evidently, all the sets E_n are closed in a Baire space E . Since $g_1(x, y) > 0$ and $g_2(x, y) > 0$ for any $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$. Then there exist an open in G nonempty set $H \subseteq G$ and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $H \subseteq E_{n_0}$.

Fix an arbitrary point $p_0 = (x_0, y_0) \in H$. Denote $x'_1 = x_0 - \frac{1}{n_0}$, $x'_2 = x_0 + \frac{1}{n_0}$, $y'_1 = y_0 - \frac{1}{n_0}$ and $y'_2 = y_0 + \frac{1}{n_0}$. Separately continuity of f implies that there exists $\delta < \frac{1}{2n_0}$ such that

$$r_{f_{x_0}}(y'_2, y'_1) - r_{f^x}(y'_2, y'_1) \in W_1 \quad \text{and} \quad r_{f_{y_0}}(x'_2, x'_1) - r_{f_y}(x'_2, x'_1) \in W_1$$

for any $x \in U = (x_0 - \delta; x_0 + \delta)$ and $y \in V = (y_0 - \delta; y_0 + \delta)$. Put $O = (U \times V) \cap H$.

Let $p = (x_1, y_1)$, $q = (x_2, y_2)$ are distinct points from the set O and $\alpha = \alpha(q, p)$. If $x_1 \neq x_2$ and $y_1 \neq y_2$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f(q) - f(p)}{d(q, p)} &= \frac{f(q) - f(x_1, y_2)}{x_2 - x_1} \cdot \frac{x_2 - x_1}{d(q, p)} + \\ &+ \frac{f(x_1, y_2) - f(p)}{y_2 - y_1} \cdot \frac{y_2 - y_1}{d(q, p)} = r_{f_{y_2}}(x_2, x_1) \cos \alpha + r_{f_{x_1}}(y_2, y_1) \sin \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

If $x_1 = x_2$ or $y_1 = y_2$ then $\cos \alpha = 0$ or $\sin \alpha = 0$ respectively and therefore

$$\frac{f(q) - f(p)}{d(q, p)} = r_{f_{y_2}}(x_2, x_1) \cos \alpha + r_{f_{x_1}}(y_2, y_1) \sin \alpha.$$

Since $p_0 \in E_{n_0}$, besides, $g_1(p_0) \geq \frac{1}{n_0}$, there exists $\delta_1 > \frac{1}{2n_0}$ such that $\delta_1 \in \Delta(W_1, f_{y_0}, x_0)$. Hence

$$r_{f_{y_0}}(x'_2, x'_1) - r_{f_{y_0}}(x_0, x_0) \in W_1,$$

provided $x'_1 \in (x_0 - \delta_1; x_0]$, $x'_2 \in [x_0; x_0 + \delta_1)$ and $f'_x(p_0) = r_{f_{y_0}}(x_0, x_0)$.

Note also that $q \in E_{n_0}$, besides, $g_1(q) \geq \frac{1}{n_0}$. Since $\frac{1}{2n_0} + \delta < \frac{1}{n_0}$, there exists $\delta_2 \geq \frac{1}{2n_0} + \delta > 2\delta$ such that $\delta_2 \in \Delta(W_1, f_{y_2}, x_2)$. Then $x'_1 = x_0 - \frac{1}{2n_0} < x_0 - \delta < x_2$, $x_2 - x'_1 < x_0 + \delta - x_0 + \frac{1}{2n_0} \leq \delta_2$, $x'_2 = x_0 + \frac{1}{2n_0} > x_0 + \delta > x_2$ and $x'_2 - x_2 < x_0 + \frac{1}{2n_0} - x_0 + \delta \leq \delta_2$. Thus $x'_1 \in (x_2 - \delta_2; x_2]$ and $x'_2 \in [x_2; x_2 + \delta_2)$. Inequalities $|x_1 - x_2| < 2\delta < \delta_2$ implies

$$r_{f_{y_2}}(x_2, x_1) - r_{f_{y_2}}(x'_2, x'_1) \in W_1.$$

Since $y_2 \in V$,

$$r_{f_{y_2}}(x'_2, x'_1) - r_{f_{y_0}}(x'_2, x'_1) \in W_1.$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} r_{f_{y_2}}(x_2, x_1) - f'_x(p_0) &= (r_{f_{y_2}}(x_2, x_1) - r_{f_{y_2}}(x'_2, x'_1)) + \\ &+ (r_{f_{y_2}}(x'_2, x'_1) - r_{f_{y_0}}(x'_2, x'_1)) + (r_{f_{y_0}}(x'_2, x'_1) - f'_x(p_0)) \in W_1 + W_1 + W_1. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously

$$r_{f_{x_1}}(y_2, y_1) - f'_y(p_0) \in W_1 + W_1 + W_1.$$

Then

$$\frac{f(q) - f(p)}{d(q, p)} - (f'_x(p_0) \cos \alpha + f'_y(p_0) \sin \alpha) =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \cos \alpha (r_{f_{y_2}}(x_2, x_1) - f'_x(p_0)) + \sin \alpha (r_{f_{x_1}}(y_2, y_1) - f'_y(p_0)) \in \\
&\quad \in \cos \alpha (W_1 + W_1 + W_1) + \sin \alpha (W_1 + W_1 + W_1) \subseteq W.
\end{aligned}$$

This complete the proof. \diamond

3. Separately pointwise Lipschitz functions and pointwise changeable functions.

Firstly recall some definitions.

Let $(X, |\cdot - \cdot|_X)$ and $(Y, |\cdot - \cdot|_Y)$ be metric spaces. A mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies Lipschitz condition with a constant $C > 0$ if $|f(x) - f(y)|_Y \leq C|x - y|_X$ for any $x, y \in X$. A mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called *pointwise Lipschitz* if for any point $x_0 \in X$ there exist a neighborhood U of point x_0 in X and $C > 0$ such that $|f(x_0) - f(x)|_Y \leq C|x_0 - x|_X$ for any $x \in U$. A mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called *pointwise changeable*, if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ the union G_ε of the system \mathcal{G}_ε of all open nonempty sets $G \subseteq X$ such that $f|_G$ satisfies the Lipschitz property with the constant ε , is an everywhere dense set.

The following property of separately pointwise Lipschitz mappings plays an important role in obtaining of a positive answer to Question 1.1.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $(X, |\cdot - \cdot|_X)$ and $(Y, |\cdot - \cdot|_Y)$ be metric spaces such that the space $X \times Y$ is a hereditarily Baire space, $(Z, |\cdot - \cdot|_Z)$ be a metric space and $f : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ be a separately pointwise Lipschitz mapping. Then for any nonempty set $E \subseteq X \times Y$ the discontinuity point set $D(f|_E)$ of mapping $f|_E$ is nowhere dense in E .*

P r o o f . Note that it is sufficient to prove the theorem for closed set E .

Let $E \subseteq X \times Y$ be a closed nonempty set and $G \subseteq X \times Y$ be an open set such that $W_0 = G \cap E \neq \emptyset$. For any $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ denote by E_{nm} the set of all points $(x, y) \in W_0$ such that

$$|f(x', y) - f(x, y)|_Z \leq n|x' - x|_X \text{ and } |f(x, y') - f(x, y)|_Z \leq n|y' - y|_Y$$

for any $x' \in X$ with $|x' - x|_X < \frac{1}{m}$ and $y' \in Y$ with $|y' - y|_Y < \frac{1}{m}$. Since f is separately pointwise Lipschitz function, $W_0 = \bigcup_{n,m=1}^{\infty} E_{nm}$. We obtain that

there exist $n_0, m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and an open in E nonempty set $W \subseteq W_0$ such that $E_{n_0 m_0}$ is dense in W , provided W_0 is open set in a Baire space E .

Choose open balls U_1 and V_1 with radius $\frac{1}{2m_0}$ in spaces X and Y respectively, such that $W_1 = (U_1 \times V_1) \cap W \neq \emptyset$. Let's show that function f satisfies

Lipschitz condition on the set W_1 with the constant $2n_0$ with respect to the maximum-metric $|\cdot - \cdot|_{X \times Y}$ on $X \times Y$.

Let $p_1 = (x_1, y_1), p_2 = (x_2, y_2) \in W_1$. Fix arbitrary $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$. Since f is continuous in the first variable at points p_1 and p_2 and the set $E_{n_0 m_0}$ is dense in W_1 , there exist $(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{y}_1), (\tilde{x}_2, \tilde{y}_2) \in W_1 \cap E_{n_0 m_0}$ such that

$$|x_1 - \tilde{x}_1|_X < \delta, |y_1 - \tilde{y}_1|_Y < \delta, |x_2 - \tilde{x}_2|_X < \delta, |y_2 - \tilde{y}_2|_Y < \delta,$$

$$|f(x_1, y_1) - f(\tilde{x}_1, y_1)|_Z < \varepsilon \text{ and } |f(x_2, y_2) - f(\tilde{x}_2, y_2)|_Z < \varepsilon.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |f(p_1) - f(p_2)|_Z &\leq |f(x_1, y_1) - f(\tilde{x}_1, y_1)|_Z + |f(\tilde{x}_1, y_1) - f(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{y}_1)|_Z + \\ &|f(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{y}_1) - f(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{y}_2)|_Z + |f(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{y}_2) - f(\tilde{x}_2, \tilde{y}_2)|_Z + |f(\tilde{x}_2, \tilde{y}_2) - f(\tilde{x}_2, y_2)|_Z + \\ &|f(\tilde{x}_2, y_2) - f(x_2, y_2)|_Z \leq \varepsilon + n_0|y_1 - \tilde{y}_1|_Y + n_0|\tilde{y}_1 - \tilde{y}_2|_Y + n_0|\tilde{x}_1 - \tilde{x}_2|_X + \\ &n_0|\tilde{y}_2 - y_2|_Y + \varepsilon \leq 2\varepsilon + 2\delta n_0 + n_0(|y_1 - y_2|_Y + 2\delta) + n_0(|x_1 - x_2|_X + 2\delta) = \\ &2\varepsilon + 6\delta n_0 + n_0(|x_1 - x_2|_X + |y_1 - y_2|_Y) \leq 2\varepsilon + 6\delta n_0 + 2n_0|p_1 - p_2|_{X \times Y}. \end{aligned}$$

Tending ε and δ to zero, we obtain

$$|f(p_1) - f(p_2)|_Z \leq 2n_0|p_1 - p_2|_{X \times Y}.$$

Hence, $f|_E$ is continuous on the set W_1 . ◇

Note that obtained property of separately pointwise Lipschitz mappings is new, but for real-valued separately differentiable functions of two variables this property can be obtained from the analog of Theorem 2.2, which was presented in [1]. Besides, in [6] it was proved that the discontinuity point set of function of two real variables, which is differentiable in the first variable and continuous in the second one, is nowhere dense. This result was generalized in [7].

For a topological space X and a set $A \subseteq X$ by \overline{A} we denote closure of A in X .

The following characterization was obtained in [1] for real-valued functions of one real variable.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a nonempty interval, $(Y, |\cdot - \cdot|_Y)$ be a metric space, $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous pointwise changeable on every closed set mapping. Then f is constant.*

P r o o f . For any $x_1, x_2 \in X$, $x_1 \neq x_2$ put $r(x_1, x_2) = \frac{|f(x_2) - f(x_1)|_Y}{|x_2 - x_1|}$ and for every $x \in X$ put $g(x) = \inf_{\delta > 0} \sup\{r(x_1, x_2) : x - \delta < x_1 < x_2 < x + \delta\}$.

Let's show that for any $a, b \in X$, $a < b$, there exists point $c \in [a; b]$ such that $g(c) \geq r(a, b)$.

Let $a \leq x < y < z \leq b$. Then $r(x, z) \leq \frac{y-x}{z-x}r(x, y) + \frac{z-y}{z-x}r(y, z)$. Hence, $r(x, z) \leq r(x, y)$ or $r(x, z) \leq r(y, z)$. Now it is easy to construct such sequence $(I_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of segments $I_n = [a_n; b_n] \subseteq [a; b]$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (b_n - a_n) = 0$, $I_{n+1} \subseteq I_n$ and $r(a_n, b_n) \geq r(a, b)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for point $c \in \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty I_n$ we have $g(c) \geq r(a, b)$.

Therefore, if $a, b \in X$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $g(x) \leq \varepsilon$ for any $x \in (a, b) \subseteq X$, then $r(x, y) \leq \varepsilon$ for any $x, y \in (a; b)$, what implies $r(a, b) \leq \varepsilon$, provided f is continuous.

Assume that f is not constant. Then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $E = \{x \in X : g(x) > \varepsilon\} \neq \emptyset$. Since f is pointwise changeable on the set $F = \overline{E}$, there exist $x_0 \in E$ and $\delta > 0$ such that $r(x, y) < \varepsilon$ for any distinct $x, y \in F \cap U$, where $U = (x_0 - \delta; x_0 + \delta)$.

Let $x, y \in U$ be arbitrary distinct points. Let's show that $r(x, y) \leq \varepsilon$. Let us assume that $x < y$. Firstly consider the case of $x, y \notin F$. If $(x; y) \cap F = \emptyset$, then $(x; y) \cap E = \emptyset$ and $r(x, y) \leq \varepsilon$. Let $(x; y) \cap F \neq \emptyset$. Choose points $u, v \in F$ such that $x < u \leq v < y$, $(x; u) \cap F = \emptyset$ and $(v; y) \cap F = \emptyset$. Then, as in above, $r(x, u) \leq \varepsilon$ and $r(v, y) \leq \varepsilon$. If $u < v$, then

$$r(x, y) = \frac{u-x}{y-x}r(x, u) + \frac{v-u}{y-x}r(u, v) + \frac{y-v}{y-x}r(v, y),$$

therefore $r(x, y) \leq \varepsilon$. When $u = v$ we use the equality

$$r(x, y) = \frac{u-x}{y-x}r(x, u) + \frac{y-u}{y-x}r(u, y).$$

In the case of $x \in F$ or $y \in F$ we use analogous reasons.

Thus, $\sup\{r(x, y) : x_0 - \delta < x < y < x_0 + \delta\} \leq \varepsilon$. Then $g(x_0) \leq \varepsilon$, what contradicts to $x_0 \in E$. \diamond

Corollary 3.3. *Let X be an arbitrary normed space, $(Y, |\cdot - \cdot|_Y)$ be a metric space, $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous pointwise changeable on every closed set mapping. Then f is constant.*

P r o o f . It is enough to prove that $f(x) = f(0)$ for any $x \in X$.

Let $x_0 \in X$, $x_0 \neq 0$, is an arbitrary point. Consider the function $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow Y$, $g(\alpha) = f(\alpha x_0)$. Since $|\alpha - \beta| = \frac{1}{\|x_0\|} \|\alpha x_0 - \beta x_0\|$ and f is continuous pointwise changeable on every closed set mapping, g satisfies conditions of Theorem 3.2. Therefore, g is constant and, besides, $f(x_0) = g(1) = g(0) = f(0)$. \diamond

The following two examples demonstrate that there is no analogous property for mappings defined on an arbitrary metric space, and from the other side, this property has no any equivalent formulation in topological terms.

E x a m p l e 3.4 . Let $(X, |\cdot - \cdot|_X)$ be a metric space with the discrete metric, i.e. $|x_1 - x_2|_X = 1$ when $x_1 \neq x_2$, and $(Y, |\cdot - \cdot|_Y)$ be an arbitrary metric space. Then every mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous and pointwise changeable on every closed set.

E x a m p l e 3.5 . Let $0 < p < 1$ and \mathbb{R}_p be the real line with the metric $|x - y|_p = |x - y|^p$. Then the identical map $f : \mathbb{R}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f(x) = x$, is an pointwise changeable on every closed set homeomorphism.

4. The equation $f'_x + f'_y = 0$.

In this section we give a positive answer to Question 1.1.

Actually, the following theorem was proved in [1], but R. Baire instead of continuity of function f on respective lines put on f stronger condition of joint continuity.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a separately differentiable function and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the restriction of function f to the set $A = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : y - x = c\}$ is continuous and $f'_x(p) + f'_y(p) = 0$ for every $p \in A$. Then the function $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $g(x) = f(x, c + x)$, is constant.*

P r o o f . Since $\cos \alpha(q, p) = \sin \alpha(q, p)$ for any distinct points $p, q \in A$, Theorem 2.2 implies that the continuous function g is pointwise changeable on every closed set. It remains to apply Theorem 3.2. \diamond

In the proof of the main result we will use the following auxiliary fact.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $I = (a; b) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an arbitrary nonempty interval, $c \in \mathbb{R}$, $\delta > 0$, $W = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x \in I, |y - x - c| \leq \delta\}$, $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be such separately continuous functions that $f(x, y) = g(x, y)$ for any $(x, y) \in W$. Then $f(x, y) = g(x, y)$ for any $(x, y) \in \overline{W}$.*

P r o o f . Let $x_0 = a$ and $|y_0 - x_0 - c| < \delta$. Then $f(x_0, y_0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow a+0} f(x, y_0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow a+0} g(x, y_0) = g(x_0, y_0)$. Analogously, if $x_0 = b$ and $|y_0 - x_0 - c| < \delta$, then $f(x_0, y_0) = g(x_0, y_0)$.

Now let $x_0 = a$ and $y_0 - x_0 - c = \delta$. Then $f(x_0, y_0) = \lim_{y \rightarrow y_0-0} f(x_0, y) = \lim_{y \rightarrow y_0-0} g(x_0, y) = g(x_0, y_0)$. We use analogous reasons in the case of $x_0 = a$ and $y_0 - x_0 - c = -\delta$, or $x_0 = b$ and $y_0 - x_0 - c = \pm\delta$. \diamond

Let X be a topological space, $x_0 \in X$, \mathcal{U} be a system of all neighborhoods

of point x_0 in X , $(Y, |\cdot - \cdot|_Y)$ be a metric space and $f : X \rightarrow Y$. Recall that a real $\omega_f(x_0) = \inf_{U \in \mathcal{U}} \sup_{x', x'' \in U} |f(x') - f(x'')|_Y$ is called *the oscillation of mapping f at x_0* .

Now let us proof our main result.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a separately differentiable function such that $f'_x(p) + f'_y(p) = 0$ for every $p \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Then for any $c \in \mathbb{R}$ the function f is constant on the set $A = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : y - x = c\}$.*

P r o o f . According to Theorem 4.1 it is enough to prove that f is continuous.

Assume that the discontinuity point set E of function f is nonempty. Theorem 3.1 implies that there exists point $p_0 = (x_0, y_0) \in E$, in which the function $f|_E$ is continuous. Denote $\varepsilon = \omega_f(p_0)$, $c_0 = y_0 - x_0$ and choose $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$ such that for any point $p \in E \cap W$, where $W = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |x - x_0| < \delta_1, |y - x - c_0| < \delta_2\}$, the inequality $|f(p) - f(p_0)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ holds. Note that for any point $q \in W$ with $|f(q) - f(p_0)| > \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ the function f is continuous at q .

Consider the continuous function $g : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $g(x, y) = f(x_0, x_0 + y - x)$ and show that $f(p) = g(p)$ for any point $p \in W$ with $|f(p) - f(p_0)| > \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$.

Let $p_1 = (x_1, y_1) \in W$, besides, $|f(p_1) - f(p_0)| > \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$. Choose $\delta > 0$ such that $|f(x_1, y) - f(x_0, y_0)| > \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ and $(x_1, y) \in W$ for any $y \in [y_1 - \delta; y_1 + \delta]$.

Denote by \mathcal{I} the system of all nonempty open intervals $I \subseteq (x_0 - \delta_1; x_0 + \delta_1)$ such that $x_1 \in I$ and $|f(x, y) - f(x_0, y_0)| > \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ for any $x \in I$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|y - x - c_1| \leq \delta$, where $c_1 = y_1 - x_1$. Note that f is continuous at every point of compact set $K = \{(x_1, y) : y \in [y_1 - \delta; y_1 + \delta]\}$. Therefore the system \mathcal{I} is nonempty.

Put $I_0 = (a; b) = \bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{I}} I$ and $W_1 = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x \in I_0, |y - x - c_1| \leq \delta\}$. Since $|f(p) - f(p_0)| > \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ for every $p \in W_1 \subseteq W$, the function f is continuous at every point from W_1 . According to Theorem 2.2 the function $\varphi(x) = f(x, c + x)$ is pointwise changeable on I , and therefore, accordingly to Theorem 3.2, φ is constant on I for every $c \in [c_1 - \delta; c_1 + \delta]$, i.e. $f(x, y) = f(x, x + y - x) = f(x_1, x_1 + y - x)$ for any $(x, y) \in W_1$.

Let us show that $I_0 = (x_0 - \delta_1; x_0 + \delta_1)$. Assume that $a > x_0 - \delta_1$. Then Lemma 4.2 implies $f(x, y) = f(x_1, x_1 + y - x)$ for any $(x, y) \in \overline{W_1}$, besides, $f(a, y) = f(x_1, x_1 + y - a)$ for any $y \in [a + c_1 - \delta; a + c_1 + \delta]$. Note that $(x_1; x_1 + y - a) \in K$ if $y \in [a + c_1 - \delta; a + c_1 + \delta]$, then $|f(p) - f(p_0)| > \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ for every $p \in K_1$, where $K_1 = \{(a, y) : |y - a - c_1| \leq \delta\}$. Since $K_1 \subseteq W$, the function f is continuous at every point from the set K_1 . Hence, there

exists a nonempty interval $I_1 \subseteq (x_0 - \delta_1; x_0 + \delta_1)$ such that $a \in I_1$ and $|f(x, y) - f(x_0, y_0)| > \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ for any $x \in I_1$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|y - x - c_1| \leq \delta$. Then $I_0 \cup I_1 \in \mathcal{I}$, what contradicts to the definition of the set I_0 . We use analogous reasons if $b < x_0 + \delta$.

Thus, $I_0 = (x_0 - \delta_1; x_0 + \delta_1)$. Then $(x_0, x_0 + c_1) \in W_1$ and $f(x_0, x_0 + c_1) = f(x_1, x_1 + c_1) = f(x_1, y_1)$, then $g(x_1, y_1) = f(x_0, x_0 + c_1) = f(x_1, y_1)$.

Since $\omega_f(p_0) = \varepsilon$, then there exists a sequence $(q_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of points $q_n = (u_n, v_n) \in W$ such that $|f(q_n) - f(p_0)| > \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n = p_0$. Then, using continuity of g , we obtain $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(q_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(q_n) = g(p_0) = f(p_0)$. But the last equalities contradict to the choice of $(q_n)_{n=1}^\infty$. \diamond

Corollary 4.4. *Let $k \in \mathbb{R}$, $k \neq 0$, $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be such a separately differentiable function that $f'_x(p) + kf'_y(p) = 0$ for every $p \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Then there exists a differentiable function $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(x, y) = \varphi(kx - y)$ for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.*

5. Equations for separately L -differentiable functions.

In this section we apply Theorem 4.3 to solving of differential equations in abstract spaces.

Let X be a vector space, Z be a set and L be a system of functions $l : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We say that a mapping $f : X \rightarrow Z$ is L -differentiable at $x_0 \in X$ if for arbitrary $h \in X$ and $l \in L$ the function $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $g(t) = l(f(x_0 + th))$, is differentiable at $t_0 = 0$, i.e. there exists $A(h, l) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{l(f(x_0 + th)) - l(f(x_0))}{t}$. The mapping $A : X \times L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called L -derivative of f at x_0 and is denoted by $Df(x_0)$. Besides, $Df(x_0)(h, l)$ we denote by $Df(x_0, h, l)$.

A mapping $f : X \rightarrow Z$ is called L -differentiable if f is L -differentiable at every point $x \in X$.

Recall that a system L of functions defined on a set Z , separates points from Z if for arbitrary distinct points $z_1, z_2 \in Z$ there exists $l \in L$ such that $l(z_1) \neq l(z_2)$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let X be a vector space, Z be a set, L be a system of functions defined on Z which separates points from Z and $f : X^2 \rightarrow Z$ be a separately L -differentiable mappings such that*

$$Df^x(y) + Df_y(x) = 0$$

for every $x, y \in X$. Then there exists an L -differentiable mapping $\varphi : X \rightarrow Z$ such that $f(x, y) = \varphi(x - y)$ for every $x, y \in X$.

P r o o f . Firstly show that $f(x, y) = \varphi(x - y)$ for some mapping $\varphi : X \rightarrow Z$. It is enough to prove that $f(x_1, y_1) = f(x_2, y_2)$ if $x_1 - y_1 = x_2 - y_2$.

Suppose that there exist $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2 \in X$ such that $x_1 - y_1 = x_2 - y_2$ and $f(x_1, y_1) = z_1 \neq f(x_2, y_2) = z_2$. Since the system L separates points from Z , there exists $l \in L$ such that $l(z_1) \neq l(z_2)$. Put $h = x_2 - x_1 = y_2 - y_1$ and consider the function $u : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $u(s, t) = l(f(x_1 + sh, y_1 + th))$.

Show that u is a separately differentiable function with $u'_s + u'_t = 0$.

Let $s_0, t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $x_0 = x_1 + s_0h$ and $y_0 = y_1 + t_0h$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} u'_s(s_0, t_0) &= \lim_{s \rightarrow s_0} \frac{l(f(x_1 + sh, y_1 + t_0h)) - l(f(x_1 + s_0h, y_1 + t_0h))}{s - s_0} = \\ &= \lim_{s \rightarrow s_0} \frac{l(f(x_0 + (s - s_0)h, y_0)) - l(f(x_0, y_0))}{s - s_0} = \\ &= \lim_{s \rightarrow s_0} \frac{l(f_{y_0}(x_0 + (s - s_0)h)) - l(f_{y_0}(x_0))}{s - s_0} = Df_{y_0}(x_0, h, l). \end{aligned}$$

Analogously $u'_t(s_0, t_0) = Df^{x_0}(y_0, h, l)$. Since $Df^{x_0}(y_0) + Df_{y_0}(x_0) = 0$, $u'_s(s_0, t_0) + u'_t(s_0, t_0) = 0$.

Thus u satisfies the conditions of Theorem 4.3 therefore $l(z_1) = u(0, 0) = u(1, 1) = l(z_2)$ what contradicts to our assumption.

L -differentiability of φ follows from $\varphi(x) = f(x, 0)$ and L -differentiability of f_y if $y = 0$. \diamond

Let X, Z be topological vector spaces. A mapping $f : X \rightarrow Z$ is called *Gateaux differentiable at point* $x_0 \in X$ if there exists an linear continuous operator $A : X \rightarrow Z$ such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x_0 + th) - f(x_0)}{t} = (Ax_0)(h)$$

for every $h \in X$. Such operator A is called *Gateaux derivative of mapping* f *at point* x_0 .

Note that for a Hausdorff topological vector space Z Gateaux derivative is unique. A mapping $f : X \rightarrow Z$, which is Gateaux differentiable at every point $x \in X$, is called *Gateaux differentiable*. A mapping D , which every Gateaux differentiable mapping $f : X \rightarrow Z$ assigns the Gateaux derivative mapping, i.e. $Df(x)$ is the Gateaux derivative of f at point $x \in X$, we call *Gateaux differentiation operator*.

Corollary 5.2. *Let X be a topological vector space, Z be a topological vector space such that the conjugate space Z^* separates points from Z and $f : X^2 \rightarrow Z$ be a mapping such that*

$$Df^x(y) + Df_y(x) = 0$$

for every $x, y \in X$, where D is the Gateaux differentiation operator for mapping which acting from X to Z . Then there exists a Gateaux differentiable mapping $\varphi : X \rightarrow Z$ such that $f(x, y) = \varphi(x - y)$ for every $x, y \in X$.

P r o o f . Since Z^* separates points from Z , Z is a Hausdorff space and the definition of D is correct. Besides, for arbitrary $x, y, h \in X$ and $z^* \in Z^*$ we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{z^*(f_y(x + th)) - z^*(f_y(x))}{t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} z^*\left(\frac{f_y(x + th) - f_y(x)}{t}\right) = z^*(Df_y(x)(h))$$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{z^*(f^x(y + th)) - z^*(f^x(y))}{t} = z^*(Df^x(y)(h)) = -z^*(Df_y(x)(h)).$$

Therefore f is a separately Z^* -differentiable mapping and $\tilde{D}f^x(y) + \tilde{D}f_y(x) = 0$, where \tilde{D} is the Z^* -differentiation operator. Theorem 5.1 implies that there exists a mapping $\varphi : X \rightarrow Z$ such that $f(x, y) = \varphi(x - y)$ for every $x, y \in X$. Since $\varphi(x) = f(x, 0)$, φ is a Gateaux differentiable mapping. \diamond

6. Higher-order equations.

Finally we give applications of Theorem 4.3 to solving of higher-order partial differential equations.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a function $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has all n -order partial derivatives. The sum of all n -order partial derivatives of f we denote by $D_n f$. Clearly that $D_{n+k} f = D_n(D_k f)$ for every $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and any function $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which has all $(n + k)$ -order partial derivatives.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a function $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has all n -order partial derivatives and $D_n f(p) = 0$ for every $p \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Then there exist differentiable functions $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$f(x, y) = \varphi_1(x - y) + (x + y)\varphi_2(x - y) + \dots + (x + y)^{n-1}\varphi_n(x - y)$$

for every $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

P r o o f . The proof is by induction of n .

By $n = 1$ it follows from Theorem 4.3.

Assume that our assertion is true for some $n = k$ and prove it for $n = k + 1$.

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function which has all $(k + 1)$ -order partial derivatives and $D_{k+1} f(p) = 0$ for every $p \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

Put $g = D_1 f$. Since $D_k g = D_{k+1} f = 0$, the assumption implies that there exist differentiable functions $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_k : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$g(x, y) = \psi_1(x - y) + (x + y)\psi_2(x - y) + \dots + (x + y)^{k-1}\psi_k(x - y).$$

Denote $\varphi_{i+1} = \frac{1}{2^i}\psi_i$ if $1 \leq i \leq k$, and put

$$u(x, y) = (x + y)\varphi_2(x - y) + (x + y)^2\varphi_3(x - y) + \cdots + (x + y)^k\varphi_{k+1}(x - y).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 u(x, y) &= 2\varphi_2(x - y) + 4(x + y)\varphi_3(x - y) + \cdots + 2k(x + y)^{k-1}\varphi_{k+1}(x - y) = \\ &= \psi_1(x - y) + (x + y)\psi_2(x - y) + \cdots + (x + y)^{k-1}\psi_k(x - y) = g(x, y) = D_1 f(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $D_1(f - u) = 0$ and Theorem 4.3 implies that there exists a differentiable function $\varphi_1 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(x, y) = \varphi_1(x, y) + u(x, y)$.

◇

Theorem 6.2. *Let a function $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has all second-order partial derivatives and*

$$f''_{xx}(p) = f''_{yy}(p) \quad \text{and} \quad f''_{xy}(p) = f''_{yx}(p)$$

for every $p \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Then there exist twice differentiable functions $\varphi, \psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$f(x, y) = \varphi(x + y) + \psi(x - y)$$

for every $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

P r o o f . Consider the function $g : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $g(p) = f'_x(p) - f'_y(p)$. Then $g'_x(p) + g'_y(p) = f''_{xx}(p) - f''_{yx}(p) + f''_{xy}(p) - f''_{yy}(p) = 0$. Theorem 4.3 implies that there exists a differentiable function $\tilde{\psi} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $g(x, y) = \tilde{\psi}(x - y)$.

Pick some twice differentiable function $\psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $2\psi' = \tilde{\psi}$ and consider the function $\tilde{f}(x, y) = f(x, y) - \psi(x - y)$. Then

$$\tilde{f}'_x(x, y) - \tilde{f}'_y(x, y) = f'_x(x, y) - f'_y(x, y) - 2\psi'(x - y) = g(x, y) - g(x, y) = 0.$$

Therefore Corollary 4.4 implies that there exists a differentiable function $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\tilde{f}(x, y) = \varphi(x + y)$, i.e. $f(x, y) = \varphi(x + y) + \psi(x - y)$ for every $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $\varphi(x) = f(x, 0) - \psi(x)$, φ is a twice differentiable function. ◇

R e m a r k s . The existence of f''_{xx} and f''_{yy} for a function $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ does not imply the existence of f''_{xy} and f''_{yx} . For example, the Schwartz function

$$f(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{2xy}{x^2 + y^2}, & \text{if } x^2 + y^2 \neq 0; \\ 0, & \text{if } x = y = 0, \end{cases}$$

is a separately infinite differentiable function, but $f''_{xy}(0, 0)$ and $f''_{yx}(0, 0)$ do not exist.

In other hand, the existence of all second-order partial derivatives of a function $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and the equality $f''_{xy} = f''_{yx}$ do not imply the jointly continuity of f . Really, the function

$$f(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{2x^3y^3}{x^6+y^6}, & \text{if } x^6 + y^6 \neq 0; \\ 0, & \text{if } x = y = 0, \end{cases}$$

has all second-order partial derivatives, besides, $f''_{xy} = f''_{yx}$ on \mathbb{R}^2 and f is jointly discontinuous at $(0, 0)$.

In this connection the following question arises naturally.

Question 6.3. *Let a function $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has partial derivatives f''_{xx} and f''_{yy} and*

$$f''_{xx}(p) = f''_{yy}(p)$$

for every $p \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Do there exist twice differentiable functions $\varphi, \psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$f(x, y) = \varphi(x + y) + \psi(x - y)$$

for every $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$?

References

1. *R. Baire*, Sur les fonctions de variables reelles. - Annali di mat. pura ed appl., ser.3., **3** (1899), 1-123.
2. *P.R. Chernoff, H.F. Royden* The Equation $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$. - The American Mathematical Monthly, **V.82, №5** (1975), 530-531.
3. *V.K. Maslyuchenko*, One property of partial derivatives. - Ukr. mat. zhurn. **39, №4** (1987), 529-531(in Russian).
4. *A.M. Bruckner, G. Petruska, O. Preiss, B.S. Thomson*, The equation $u_x u_y = 0$ factors. - Acta Math. Hung. **57, №3-4** (1991), 275-278.
5. *A.K. Kalancha, V.K. Maslyuchenko*, A generalization of Bruckner-Petruska-Preiss-Thomson theorem. - Mat. Studiji. **1, №1** (1999), 48-52(in Ukrainian).
6. *K. Bögel*, Über partiell differenzierbare Funktionen. - Math. Z. **25** (1926), 490-498.
7. *V.H. Herasymchuk, V.K. Maslyuchenko, V.V. Mykhaylyuk*, Varieties of Lipschitz condition and discontinuity points sets of separately differentiable functions. - Nauk. Visn. Cherniv. Univ. Vyp. **134**. Matematyka. Chernivtsi: Ruta, (2002), 22-29(in Ukrainian).